UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	SEP 1 7 2007 S.D. OF N.Y.
MICHELLE LOSCALZO,  Plaintiff,	i i
-against-	07 Civ. 3046 (SCR))
NEW ROC HARLEY DAVIDSON AND BUELL, INC.,	: : :
Defendant.	; ;

## STIPULATION AND ORDER OF CONFIDENTIALITY

produced in response to Defendant's First Request for Documents and First Set of Interrogatoric which contain confidential information and are not public or publicly available documents, are and shall remain confidential information, and have been disclosed by Plaintiff to be utilized solely in connection with the above-captioned action by:

- (1) the Court, Court personnel, court reporters and depositions and, if applicable, the Jury;
- (2) the Defendant and its attorney of record, other attorneys acting "of counse for the Defendant in this action provided said attorneys are shown a copy of this Stipulation and agree to be bound by same, and persons employed in said attorneys' offices;
- (3) persons retained in this action to assist in the prosecution or defense of this action including technical and professional experts and persons employed and affiliated with such experts provided that said individuals are shown a copy this Stipulation and agree to be

DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILE
DOC #:

DATE FILED:

bound by same; and

(4) witnesses during the course of depositions, trial testimony or predeposition or pre-trial preparation.

No designation of "Confidential" shall be effective unless there is placed or affixed on the designated information, document or thing (in such manner as will not interfere with the legibility thereof) a "Confidential" notice or the equivalent. Any "Confidential" designation which is inadvertently omitted subsequent to the execution of this Confidentiality Order may be corrected by prompt written notification to opposing counsel.

Nothing contained in this Confidentiality Order shall be construed to prevent Defendant from petitioning the Court, upon notice for an order modifying or eliminating any "Confidentiality: designation. If the parties disagree about whether certain information, documents, or things should be designated as "Confidential," the parties will confer in good fait to resolve their differences. In the absence of any agreement resolving these differences, the parties, jointly, shall refer the matter to the Court to resolve the dispute.

Dated: White Plains, New York

August 26, 2007/

Jeffrey M. Bernbach (JB 5131) Bernbach Law Firm PLLC 245 Main Street, 5th Floor White Plains, New York 10601

Attorney For Plaintiff

Dated: New York, New York August 10, 2007

Mary Ellen Donnelly (MD 4396)

Putney, Twombly, Hall & Hirson LLP

521 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10175

(212) 682-0020

Attorneys for Defendant

SO ORDERED:

Dated: New York, New York

STEPHEN C. ROBINSON

United States District Court Judge